

Multilateralism Survey Results, May 2012

Josh Busby, LBJ School of Public Affairs, University of Texas

Jon Monten, University of Oklahoma

Will Inboden, LBJ School of Public Affairs, University of Texas

Bretton Woods

<i>Favorability</i>	<i><u>Democrats</u></i>	<i><u>Republicans</u></i>
<i>World Bank</i>	77.3%	65%
<i>IMF</i>	72.7%	63.2%
<i>Agreement</i>		
<i>IMF lending generates risk behavior</i>	13.6%	40%
<i>The IMF is no longer relevant or influential</i>	9.1%	5%
<i>The IMF is important</i>	86.4%	70%
<i>The IMF is useful but not primary forum recently</i>	59.1%	55%
<i>Very Important</i>		
<i>Global Financial Regulation</i>	71.4%	31.6%

* **Bolded** numbers in the tables represent statistically significant differences

Trade

<i>Favorability</i>	<i>Democrats</i>	<i>Republicans</i>
<i>NAFTA</i>	77.3%	100%
<i>WTO</i>	86.4%	85%
<i>Agreement</i>		
<i>Abide by WTO decisions that go against US</i>	90.9%	83.3%
<i>Withdraw from WTO</i>	0%	0%
<i>US interests better secured through bilateral or regional agreements</i>	18.2%	57.9%
<i>Very important</i>		
<i>International Trade</i>	76.4%	89.5%
<i>International Trade</i>	95%	85%
<i>Multilateral Response</i>		

* **Bolded** numbers in the tables represent statistically significant differences

NATO

	<u>Democrats</u>	<u>Republicans</u>
Favorability	90.9%	85%
Agreement		
<i>We should always use NATO</i>	50%	10%
<i>Use NATO when we can</i>	45.5%	78.9%
<i>NATO ineffective</i>	4.5%	25%

* **Bolded** numbers in the tables represent statistically significant differences

ARMS CONTROL

	<u>Democrats</u>	<u>Republicans</u>
Favorability		
IAEA	95.5%	45%
Non-Proliferation Treaty	100%	60%
Chemical Weapons Convention	95.5%	55%
START	95.5%	45%
Proliferation Security Initiative	47.6%	83.3%
Very Important		
Nonproliferation	95.2%	89.5%
Nonproliferation Multilateral Response	95%	85%
Terrorism	52.4%	89.5%
Terrorism Multilateral Response	65%	85%

* **Bolded** numbers in the tables represent statistically significant differences

Alliances/Partnerships

<i>Favorability</i>	<i>Democrats</i>	<i>Republicans</i>
Afghanistan	9.1%	55%
Iraq	13.6%	75%
Israel	45.5%	100%
<i>No statistically significant differences for Australia, India, Japan, South Korea, UK</i>		

* **Bolded** numbers in the tables represent statistically significant differences

UNITED NATIONS

<i>Favorability</i>	<i>Democrats</i>	<i>Republicans</i>
<i>Agreement</i>		
<i>We should work through the UN because it has global legitimacy.</i>	90.9%	25%
<i>The UN Security Council is an important place to defend our interests.</i>	100%	70%
<i>Need to distinguish between UN and good work of UN agencies</i>	56.5%	80%
<i>UN agencies ineffective.</i>	27.2%	90%
<i>US law takes precedence over UN.</i>	63.6%	95%

* **Bolded** numbers in the tables represent statistically significant differences

NEWER ISSUES

Favorability	<u>Democrats</u>	<u>Republicans</u>
International Criminal Court	72.7%	20%
Global Fund	81.8%	66.7%
Kyoto Protocol	72.7%	0%
World Health Organization	81.8%	65%
Very Important		
Human Rights	47.6%	84.2%
Climate	76.2%	10.5%
Health	38.1%	31.6%

* **Bolded** numbers in the tables represent statistically significant differences

PRINCIPLES

Agreement	<u>Democrats</u>	<u>Republicans</u>
<i>It is more efficient to act alone than it is to cooperate with others.</i>	19%	50%
<i>It is important to protect U.S. sovereignty.</i>	57.9%	94.7%
<i>We need to preserve our freedom of action.</i>	75%	100%
<i>Most international problems today cannot be solved alone</i>	100%	70%
<i>We need to enlist others to have international legitimacy.</i>	100%	60%
<i>Coalitions of the willing' are usually preferable to acting through formal multilateral institutions.</i>	9.5%	65%

* **Bolded** numbers in the tables represent statistically significant differences